USPTO Trademark Fee Adjustment—

Aggregate Revenue Estimating Methodology

This document provides an explanation of the figures and calculations underlying the fee estimates found in the aggregate revenue tables developed in support of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM). The complete set of tables, one for each of the four alternatives considered, is available at <http://www.uspto.gov/about-us/performance-and-planning/fee-setting-and-adjusting>. This document has five sections:

1. **Background**
2. **Proposed Alternative**
3. **Across-the-Board Adjustment Alternative**
4. **Unit Cost Recovery Alternative**
5. **Baseline (Current Fee Schedule) Alternative**

Section I provides background information and additional details on the revenue estimating methodology that the Office uses to develop aggregate fee estimates for the four alternatives considered. Sections II-V explain the approach for calculating the aggregate revenue estimates for each alternative. Questions related to the aggregate revenue estimating methodologies discussed in the narrative are welcome. For further information, contact Brendan Hourigan, Office of Planning and Budget, by telephone at (571) 272-8966.

# SECTION I: BACKGROUND

The aggregate revenue tables provide current and new fee rates, estimated workloads (requests for services), and projected fee collections at the individual fee code level for each of the years FY 2020 through FY 2025 for each of the four alternatives considered. For a description of how individual fee rates were determined for each alternative, see Part VII.B, Regulatory Flexibility Act, in the NPRM. For a description of estimating workloads (requests for services), see Part IV, Fee Setting Methodology, in the NPRM.

# SECTION II: PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE

The aggregate revenue table for the proposed alternative presents aggregate fee estimates based on the fee rates established in the NPRM. Columns A through H are fee rates from FY 2019 to FY 2025 based on the NPRM. Columns A and B show current fee rates. Column C indicates fee rates to be proposed for implementation on August 29, 2020. These fees remain the same through FY 2025 (column H).

Columns I through P are workloads projected from FY 2020 to FY 2025. Each column represents the workloads for each fiscal year, unless it indicates a specific period on top. For example, column I displays workloads from October 1, 2019, to August 28, 2020, to show workloads prior to the fee adjustments. Likewise, column J exhibits the forecasted workloads after the fee adjustments on August 29, 2020. These two columns, I and J, are then added to calculate the aggregate workloads for FY 2021 in column K. In the case of fees that have a variable fee rate (for example, the fee to collect payment for a partial service charge related to closing a deposit account, 9209T), values in columns I through P reflect the anticipated workloads associated with that service in aggregate dollars rather than the number of individual requests for service, as these columns are used for fees with fixed fee rates.

Columns Q through X are projected revenues from FY 2020 to FY 2025. Each column denotes the aggregate fee collections for each fiscal year, except for columns Q and R, which present partial-year collection estimates for FY 2020. Fee collections are calculated by multiplying the fee rate column and workload column for each period noted. For instance, column Q, which indicates fees collected between October 1, 2019, and August 28, 2020, is calculated by multiplying columns B and I. Similarly, column R, which shows fees collected after the fee adjustments on August 29, 2020, is calculated by multiplying columns C and J. Columns Q and R are then added to get the aggregate fee collections for FY 2020 in column S. Columns T through X are calculated by multiplying their corresponding fee rate and workload columns.

# SECTION III: ACROSS-THE-BOARD ADJUSTMENT ALTERNATIVE

This alternative uses the Office’s America Invents Act (AIA) section 10 fee setting authority to apply an inflationary adjustment of 22% to the Baseline. This alternative is fully described in the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA), which is available in the NPRM. The table calculation methodology for the Across-the-Board Adjustment table is identical to that described in Section II: Proposed Alternative.

# SECTION IV: UNIT COST RECOVERY ALTERNATIVE

This alternative sets most individual large-entity fees at the historical cost of performing the activities related to the particular service in FY 2019. This alternative is fully described in the IRFA, which is available in the NPRM. The table calculation methodology for the Unit Cost Recovery table is identical to that described in Section II: Proposed Alternative.

# SECTION V: BASELINE (CURRENT FEE SCHEDULE)

This alternative uses fee rates in the current trademark fee schedule that became effective on January 14, 2017. The Baseline is fully described in the IRFA, which is available in the NPRM. The table calculation methodology for the Baseline (Current Fee Schedule) is identical to that described in Section II: Proposed Alternative.